

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,

v.

ACELYRIN, INC., SHAO-LEE LIN,
MARDI C. DIER, and GIL
LABRUCHERIE,

Defendants.

Case No.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff _____ (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by Plaintiff’s undersigned attorneys, for Plaintiff’s complaint against Defendants, alleges the following based upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiff and Plaintiff’s own acts, and information and belief as to all other matters, based upon, *inter*

1 *alia*, the investigation conducted by and through Plaintiff’s attorneys, which included,
2 among other things, a review of the Defendants’ public documents, conference calls and
3 announcements made by Defendants, United States (“U.S.”) Securities and Exchange
4 Commission (“SEC”) filings, wire and press releases published by and regarding
5 ACELYRIN, Inc. (“Acelyrin” or the “Company”), analysts’ reports and advisories about
6 the Company, and information readily obtainable on the Internet. Plaintiff believes that
7 substantial, additional evidentiary support will exist for the allegations set forth herein
8 after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.
9
10
11

12 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

13
14 1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of a class consisting of all
15 persons and entities other than Defendants that purchased or otherwise acquired Acelyrin
16 securities between May 4, 2023 and September 11, 2023, both dates inclusive (the “Class
17 Period”). Plaintiff pursues claims against the Defendants under the Securities Exchange
18 Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”).
19

20
21 2. Acelyrin is a clinical biopharma company that focuses on developing and
22 commercializing transformative medicines. The Company’s lead product candidate is
23 izokibep, a small protein therapeutic designed to inhibit IL-17A with purportedly high
24 potency, which is currently in Part B of a Phase 2b/3 clinical trial for use in the treatment
25 of moderate to severe Hidradenitis Suppurativa (“HS”).
26
27
28

1 3. On April 13, 2023, Acelyrin filed a registration statement on Form S-1 with
2 the SEC in connection with the Company’s Initial Public Offering (“IPO”), which, after
3 several amendments, was declared effective by the SEC on May 4, 2023 (the “Registration
4 Statement”).
5

6 4. On May 4, 2023, pursuant to the Registration Statement, Acelyrin’s common
7 stock began publicly trading on the Nasdaq Global Select Market (“NASDAQ”) under the
8 trading symbol “SLRN”.
9

10 5. On May 5, 2023, Acelyrin filed a prospectus on Form 424B4 with the SEC
11 in connection with the IPO, which incorporated and formed part of the Registration
12 Statement (the “Prospectus” and, collectively with the Registration Statement, the
13 “Offering Documents”).
14

15 6. Pursuant to the Offering Documents, Acelyrin issued 30 million shares of its
16 common stock to the public at the Offering price of \$18.00 per share for proceeds to the
17 Company of \$502.2 million after applicable underwriting discounts and commissions.
18

19 7. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants made materially false and
20 misleading statements regarding the Company’s business, operations, and prospects.
21 Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose
22 that: (i) izokibep was less effective in treating HS than Defendants had led investors to
23 believe; (ii) accordingly, Acelyrin overstated izokibep’s clinical and/or commercial
24 prospects; (iii) as a result, Acelyrin also overstated the Company’s business prospects
25
26
27
28

1 post-IPO; and (iv) as a result, the Company's public statements were materially false and
2 misleading at all relevant times.

3
4 8. On September 11, 2023, after the markets closed, Acelyrin announced
5 disappointing top-line results from Part B of the Phase 2b/3 trial evaluating izokibep for
6 the treatment of moderate-to-severe HS. Specifically, izokibep failed to show statistically
7 significant reduction in abscesses and inflammatory nodules in patients as compared to
8 placebo.

9
10
11 9. On this news, Acelyrin's stock price fell \$17.19 per share, or 61.61%, over
12 the following two trading sessions, to close at \$10.71 per share on September 13, 2023.

13
14 10. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous
15 decline in the market value of Acelyrin's securities, Plaintiff and other Class members
16 have suffered significant losses and damages.

17
18 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

19 11. The claims asserted herein arise under and pursuant to Sections 10(b) and
20 20(a) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated
21 thereunder by the SEC (17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5).

22
23 12. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to
24 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa).

25
26 13. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to Section 27 of the
27 Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Acelyrin is headquartered in
28

1 this Judicial District, Defendants conduct business in this Judicial District, and a
2 significant portion of Defendants’ activities took place within this Judicial District.
3

4 14. In connection with the acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendants, directly
5 or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including, but
6 not limited to, the mails, interstate telephone communications, and the facilities of the
7 national securities markets.
8

9 **PARTIES**

10
11 15. Plaintiff, as set forth in the attached Certification, acquired Acelyrin
12 securities at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period and suffered damages as a
13 result of the federal securities law violations and false and/or misleading statements
14 and/or material omissions alleged herein.
15

16 16. Defendant Acelyrin is a Delaware corporation with principal executive
17 offices located at 4149 Liberty Canyon Road, Agoura Hills, California 91301. The
18 Company’s common stock trades in an efficient market on the NASDAQ under the trading
19 symbol “SLRN”.
20
21

22 17. Defendant Shao-Lee Lin (“Lin”) has served as Acelyrin’s Founder, Chief
23 Executive Officer, and a Director of the Company at all relevant times.
24

25 18. Defendant Mardi C. Dier (“Dier”) served as Acelyrin’s Chief Financial
26 Officer (“CFO”) and Chief Business Officer from before the start of the Class Period to
27 August 15, 2023.
28

1 medicines. The Company's lead product candidate is izokibep, a small protein therapeutic
2 designed to inhibit IL-17A with purportedly high potency, which is presently in Part B of
3
4 Phase 2b/3 clinical trials for use in the treatment of moderate to severe HS.

5 24. On April 13, 2023, Acelyrin filed the Registration Statement on Form S-1
6 with the SEC in connection with the IPO, which, after several amendments, was declared
7
8 effective by the SEC on May 4, 2023.

9 25. On May 4, 2023, pursuant to the Registration Statement, Acelyrin's common
10
11 stock began publicly trading on the NASDAQ under the trading symbol "SLRN".

12 26. On May 5, 2023, Acelyrin filed the Prospectus on Form 424B4 with the SEC
13
14 in connection with the IPO, which incorporated and formed part of the Registration
15
16 Statement.

17 27. Pursuant to the Offering Documents, Acelyrin issued 30 million shares of its
18
19 common stock to the public at the Offering price of \$18.00 per share for proceeds to the
20
21 Company of \$502.2 million after applicable underwriting discounts and commissions.

22 **Materially False and Misleading Statements Issued During the Class Period**

23 28. The Class Period begins on May 4, 2023, when Acelyrin's common stock
24
25 began publicly trading on the NASDAQ pursuant to the materially false or misleading
26
27 statements or omissions in the Offering Documents. For example, in providing an
28
overview of the Company, the Offering Documents stated, in relevant part:

ACELYRIN is a late-stage clinical biopharma company focused on
identifying, acquiring, and accelerating the development and

1 commercialization of transformative medicines. We are driven by our sense
2 of urgency to bring life-changing therapies to patients globally, a core value
3 that we refer to as “courageous caring.”

4 Our initial focus is on the treatment of diseases with pathology related
5 to excess activation of the immune system, an area where our management
6 and team bring industry-leading expertise. We acquired our portfolio of
7 product candidates with the intent to develop and commercialize novel
8 therapies that we believe may provide the opportunity to offer clinically
9 meaningful, differentiated benefits for patients by improving upon the
10 efficacy and/or safety of existing therapeutics directed against established
11 targets, such as currently marketed anti-interleukin (IL)-17A agents, or by
12 targeting new modalities. In each case, our strategy is to identify candidates
13 we believe are “diamonds in the rough,” where, based on molecule
14 characteristics, our collective experience and expertise, and the evolving
15 scientific and medical understanding, we can establish a clinical development
16 plan that tests our hypotheses as to what those benefits could mean for
17 patients. Subsequently, we plan to utilize the results from initial clinical trials
18 and the learnings we obtain from emerging biology to potentially expand the
19 application of our candidates to other indications in which there are significant
20 unmet needs.

21 Our current portfolio consists of multiple clinical and preclinical stage
22 product candidates being investigated across several indications representing
23 multi-billion-dollar opportunities in the aggregate.

24 **Our Pipeline**

25 Our lead product candidate is izokibep, a small protein therapeutic
26 designed to inhibit IL-17A with high potency through tight binding affinity
27 and the potential for robust tissue penetration due to its small molecular size,
28 about one-tenth the size of a monoclonal antibody.

29 Further, the Offering Documents touted that “[t]he [d]esign of Izokibep is
[h]ighly [d]ifferentiated from [m]onoclonal [a]ntibodies,” and stated, in relevant part:

Izokibep is a small protein therapeutic designed to bind the
homodimeric IL-17A molecule with high potency. In contrast to conventional
monoclonal antibodies, izokibep is much smaller – approximately one-tenth

1 the size of a traditional monoclonal antibody – containing two IL-17A binding
2 domains and an albumin binding domain that results in improved
3 pharmacokinetic (PK) properties.

4 By virtue of its structure and size, we believe izokibep has several key
5 features different from traditional monoclonal antibodies:

- 6 • **High potency.** Izokibep binds both subunits of the IL-17A dimer
7 simultaneously, resulting in complete blockade of IL-17 signaling in
8 preclinical studies. Izokibep is highly potent with a dissociation
9 constant (KD) of 0.3 pM to human IL-17A. Currently, FDA-approved
10 anti-IL-17A agents secukinumab (marketed by Novartis AG) and
11 ixekizumab (marketed by Eli Lilly and Company) have a KD of 200pM
12 and 1.8 pM, respectively.
- 13 • **Albumin-binding domain provides half-life extension and broad
14 tissue exposure.** The albumin-binding domain increases the plasma
15 half-life of izokibep and enhances its ability to target sites of
16 inflammation.
- 17 • **Small size drives robust tissue penetration.** Izokibep has a molecular
18 weight of 18.6 kDa, approximately one-tenth the size of a monoclonal
19 antibody, enabling the potential to reach difficult to penetrate tissues
20 such as dense and poorly vascularized entheses in PsA and abscesses
21 and inflammatory nodules in HS. In murine skin, izokibep
22 demonstrated robust exposure, increasing over time, compared to
23 secukinumab.
- 24 • **Potential to conveniently deliver high exposures.** The lower
25 molecular weight of izokibep (18.6 kDa) compared to traditional
26 monoclonal antibodies (~150 kDa) means that there are more izokibep
27 drug molecules in a given volume. Additionally, as demonstrated in
28 comparative analyses assessing binding affinity, izokibep molecules
are also more potent than the currently marketed monoclonal antibodies
targeting IL-17A, secukinumab and ixekizumab. We believe izokibep
can deliver in a single subcutaneous injection exposure levels that the
marketed anti-IL-17A monoclonal antibodies require IV infusion to
deliver.

1 30. In addition, the Offering Documents contained the following statements
2 regarding izokibep’s purported efficacy in treating HS:
3

4 Efficacy of treatments in HS is typically measured by improvements
5 in Hidradenitis Suppurativa Clinical Response (HiSCR). HiSCR is a clinically
6 validated scoring system that is used to assess disease activity and which was
7 accepted as a valid clinical endpoint in the regulatory approval process for the
8 only FDA-approved therapy for HS, adalimumab. HiSCR50 represents a 50%
9 improvement in abscesses and inflammatory nodules without worsening in
10 either of these individually or worsening in tunnelling; high order responses,
11 such as 75% improvement (HiSCR75), 90% improvement (HiSCR90) and
12 100% improvement (HiSCR100, which means there are no abscesses or
inflammatory nodules and no new fistulae/tunnels), represent even greater
clinical responses on the reduction of inflammatory nodules and abscesses as
well as fistulae/tunnels.

13 As presented at the 2023 American Academy of Dermatology (AAD)
14 annual meeting, izokibep demonstrated high orders of HiSCR in Part A of our
15 Phase 2b/3 trial in HS. Part A of this trial was designed to inform our own
16 internal decision-making about the future of the izokibep development
17 program in HS and consisted of open label treatment with izokibep 160 mg
18 administered subcutaneously (SC) weekly (QW). Thirty participants were
19 enrolled in the trial and nine discontinued for various reasons including
20 physical relocation and lost to follow up (four), injection site reactions (three;
21 two mild, one moderate), and serious adverse events (SAEs) relating to
22 gastrointestinal symptoms (two). Of the two SAEs, one was Crohn’s disease
23 (potentially related) and the second was pre-existing diverticulitis with
24 diverticular abscess and sepsis (not related). Our internal hurdle for continuing
25 to advance development in HS was to see high orders of HiSCR responses.
We have reported data as observed at 12 weeks with 71% of participants
achieving HiSCR50, 57% achieving HiSCR75, 38% achieving HiSCR90 and
33% achieving HiSCR100. Both Hurley Stage II and III participants were
present in the populations achieving the highest orders of response (HiSCR90
and HiSCR100).

26 31. Finally, in providing an overview of the Company’s strategy, the Offering
27 Documents stated, in relevant part:
28

1 Our vision is to build a leading integrated biopharma company focused
2 on delivering transformative medicines to patients. Immunology is an area of
3 deep core expertise throughout the organization, and therefore is our area of
4 initial focus. Our mission is to identify, acquire, and accelerate the
5 development and commercialization of medicines that we believe have the
6 potential to offer clinically meaningful, differentiated benefits to patients. We
7 intend to achieve that goal by implementing the following strategies.

- 8 • **Maximize the value of izokibep.** Izokibep is a “pipeline-in-a-
9 program” with encouraging clinical data obtained in multiple
10 immunology-related indications. We refer to izokibep as a “pipeline-
11 in-a-program”, which reflects our strategy to develop a single asset in
12 multiple indications. Clinical data generated to date and the high in
13 vitro potency and small molecular size of izokibep hold the potential
14 for clinically meaningful responses in diseases such as HS, PsA, AxSpA
15 and uveitis, and we plan to advance these opportunities in parallel
16 clinical trials. In addition, we intend to explore the potential
17 development of izokibep in future indications where there is strong
18 rationale for IL-17A inhibition and high unmet patient need.

19 ***

- 20 • **Diversify our portfolio with new product candidates.** Our ability to
21 identify, acquire and rapidly advance izokibep into late-stage clinical
22 trials across several indications exemplifies the approach that we are
23 actively pursuing to continue to diversify our portfolio with drug
24 candidates that fit our strategic focus. Specifically, we plan to acquire
25 and advance new therapies where we feel we can offer unique
26 experience and expertise to optimize their development and value.
- 27 • **Evaluate strategic collaborations.** We believe that our team’s
28 experience and track record demonstrate ACELYRIN’s capabilities
and make our company an attractive partner. We will strategically
evaluate potential collaborations to maximize the value of our portfolio.
- **Build our operational and commercial capabilities for supplying
and marketing our products, if approved, in key markets.** In
general, we intend to manage our products from development through
to commercialization. Where beneficial, we may collaborate with a
partner for various capabilities such as manufacturing, marketing

1 and/or sales of our products in one or more geographies. With late-stage
2 trials underway for izokibep in multiple indications, we remain
3 committed to continuing to build the capabilities necessary to achieve
4 our goal of becoming an integrated biopharma company.

5 32. On June 15, 2023, Acelyrin issued a press release announcing the
6 Company's Q1 2023 financial results and recent highlights. The press release stated, in
7 relevant part:

8
9 "The past several months have been transformative for ACELYRIN. We
10 continue to work toward our mission to develop clinically meaningful,
11 differentiated medicines by executing on development plans to test our
12 hypotheses and determine how our assets might best address the significant
13 unmet need that remains for patients across a multitude of autoimmune and
14 inflammatory diseases," said [Defendant] Lin[.] "I am particularly pleased for
15 patients that in the past six months we have been able to share izokibep data
16 demonstrating resolution of important manifestations of disease and
17 significant evidence of positive impact on quality of life. With the proceeds
18 of our recent initial public offering, we will continue to drive towards key
19 value-driving milestones to deliver efficiently on our development plans. We
20 are pleased today to be sharing the acceleration of timing for top-line pivotal
21 data for izokibep in Hidradenitis Suppurativa (HS), now expected in the third
22 quarter of 2023, and that a second confirmatory Phase 3 trial in HS is now
23 actively enrolling."

24 ***

25 ***Izokibep***

26 In March, we shared as a late-breaking presentation at the 2023 American
27 Academy of Dermatology (AAD) Annual Meeting data showing that
28 treatment with izokibep led to high orders of HiSCR response at 12 weeks in
the open label Part A of the Phase 2b/3 trial in HS. These responses included
achieving HiSCR100, defined as complete resolution of abscesses and
nodules with no new fistulae/draining tunnels, in moderate to severe patients
representing both Hurley Stage II and III.

1 Part B of the Phase 2b/3 trial completed enrollment early and top-line results
2 are now anticipated in Q3 2023. An independent Data Monitoring Committee
3 (DMC) conducted a planned interim analysis, reported no safety concerns,
4 and confirmed 160mg weekly (QW) as the dose for the second Phase 3 trial
5 in HS. This Phase 3 trial is now actively enrolling.

6 In April, we announced 46-week data from the Phase 2 trial of izokibep in
7 Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) showing that continued treatment of 80mg every two
8 weeks (Q2W) led to further improvements beyond 16 weeks in magnitude of
9 response across key manifestations of the disease including complete
10 resolution of enthesitis in 89% of participants, PASI100 responses in 71% of
11 participants, ACR50 responses in 79% of participants, and ACR70 responses
12 in 50% of participants. A Phase 2b/3 trial in PsA is ongoing and includes
13 further dose ranging up to 160mg QW.

14 The totality of evidence across these two independent datasets of HS and PsA
15 continues to support the hypothesis that the high potency and small molecular
16 size of izokibep can lead to clinically meaningful, differentiated benefits for
17 patients, including resolution of important manifestations of each disease
18 associated with residual pain and severity of disease.

19 33. That same day, Acelyrin filed a Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q with the
20 SEC, reporting the Company's financial and operational results for the quarter ended
21 March 31, 2023 (the "Q1 2023 10-Q"). The Q1 2023 10-Q stated, in relevant part:

22 Our initial focus is on the treatment of diseases with pathology related
23 to excess activation of the immune system, an area where our management
24 and team bring industry-leading expertise. We acquired our portfolio of
25 product candidates with the intent to develop and commercialize novel
26 therapies that we believe may provide the opportunity to offer clinically
27 meaningful, differentiated benefits for patients by improving upon the
28 efficacy and/or safety of existing therapeutics directed against established
targets, such as currently marketed anti-interleukin (IL)-17A agents, or by
targeting new modalities. In each case, our strategy is to identify candidates
we believe are "diamonds in the rough," where, based on molecule
characteristics, our collective experience and expertise, and the evolving
scientific and medical understanding, we can establish a clinical development
plan that tests our hypotheses as to what those benefits could mean for

1 patients. Subsequently, we plan to utilize the results from initial clinical trials
2 and the learnings we obtain from emerging biology to potentially expand the
3 application of our candidates to other indications in which there are significant
4 unmet needs.

5 * * *

6 Our lead product candidate is izokibep, a small protein therapeutic
7 designed to inhibit IL-17A with high potency through tight binding affinity
8 and the potential for robust tissue penetration due to its small molecular size,
9 about one-tenth the size of a monoclonal antibody. Izokibep is currently in
10 development for multiple immunological indications including [HS.]

11 34. Appended to the Q1 2023 10-Q as an exhibit was a signed certification
12 pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“SOX”) by Defendants Lin and Dier,
13 attesting that “the information contained in the [Q1 2023 10-Q] fairly presents, in all
14 material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.”

15 35. On August 14, 2023, Acelyrin issued a press release announcing the
16 Company’s Q2 2023 financial results and recent highlights. The press release stated, in
17 relevant part:
18

19 “The first half of 2023 has been productive and rewarding as we marked a
20 number of clinical and corporate milestones supporting our mission to
21 identify, acquire and accelerate the development and commercialization of
22 transformative medicines for patients,” said [Defendant] Lin[.] “From our
23 successful initial public offering in May to our continued clinical progress
24 across the portfolio including izokibep, lonigutamab and SLRN-517, we are
25 aggressively executing against our plans for the potential to accelerate better
26 treatment options for patients and value for shareholders. We’re very pleased
27 to share today new data from Part A of the Phase 2b/3 trial of izokibep in
28 Hidradenitis Suppurativa, or HS, demonstrating that the majority of patients
are achieving improvements in the number of draining tunnels within the first
month of therapy. The totality of evidence across our HS and Psoriatic
Arthritis trial results continues to support the hypothesis that the high potency

1 and small molecular size of izokibep can lead to clinically meaningful,
2 differentiated benefits for patients, including resolution of important
3 manifestations of each disease that otherwise lead to pain, disability and
4 poorer overall quality of life.”

5 ***

6 **Recent Highlights and Upcoming Milestones**

7 *Izokibep*

8 Izokibep is a small protein therapeutic designed to inhibit IL-17A with high
9 potency and small molecular size, approximately 1/10th the size of a
10 monoclonal antibody. Our recent data in Hidradenitis Suppurativa (HS) and
11 Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA) demonstrate – in two independent data sets across
12 two indications – the potential for resolution of disease in difficult-to-treat
13 tissues, and that improves over time. Trials designed for the potential to be
14 part of registrational packages are underway in moderate-to-severe HS, PsA
and uveitis, with plans to initiate an additional Phase 3 program in axial
spondyloarthritis (AxSpA).

- 15 • A new analysis from Part A of the Phase 2b/3 trial in HS suggests that
16 treatment with izokibep results in improvement of at least one draining
17 tunnel as early as week 4 in two-thirds of continuing patients. Week 4
18 was the first timepoint assessed and this result remained consistent
19 through week 12. Furthermore, half of continuing patients improved by
20 at least two draining tunnels by week 8 and remained consistent through
21 week 12. The speed of response, as well as the magnitude of response
22 at the later time points, is a promising development. It is important to
23 note that this analysis is based off a small dataset with numbers of
patients in the high single digit to low double digits. Additional
understanding of izokibep’s impact on draining tunnels will be
informed by the Part B data set.
 - 24 ○ Enrollment of the double-blind, placebo-controlled Part B of the
25 Phase 2b/3 trial evaluating izokibep in HS completed ahead of
26 schedule, accelerating anticipated top-line results into the third
27 quarter 2023.
- 28 • Based on the results seen in the open-label Part A of the trial as
presented at AAD in March 2023, we remain focused on resolution of

1 disease as approximated by high orders of response such as HiSCR100.
2 HiSCR100 is a stringent measure of disease control in HS as it requires
3 the same individual to achieve both abscess/nodule resolution without
4 formation of new draining tunnels. We believe that full control of active
5 inflammation enables the early improvements observed in the number
6 of draining tunnels in Part A.

- 7 • Also during the quarter, an independent Data Monitoring Committee
8 (DMC) conducted a pre-planned review of unblinded efficacy and
9 safety data from Part B of the P2b/3 trial in HS and confirmed the dose
10 of 160mg QW for the second Phase 3 trial in HS. While the company
11 remains blinded to the data, this confirmation is consistent with the
12 understanding that higher exposures are required in HS and aligns with
13 our hypothesis that the high potency and small size of izokibep could
14 lead to clinically meaningful differentiated benefits.
 - 15 ○ With the dose confirmed in May, we dosed the first patient in the
16 second HS Phase 3 trial in June, and that trial continues to
17 actively enroll.
- 18 • In April, the Company reported 46-week results from the Phase 2 trial
19 in PsA that showed continued, deepening improvements beyond 16
20 weeks across key manifestations of the disease. Of participants
21 receiving izokibep 80 mg Q2W, 79% achieved ACR50 response versus
22 52% at week 16 and even higher measures of clinical response –
23 including significant control or resolution of disease – were observed
24 with 50% achieving ACR70 response, 71% achieving PASI100
25 response, and 89% achieving enthesitis resolution. This was predicted
26 by internal modeling that suggested the magnitude of clinical response
27 would continue to increase with longer duration of treatment. The
28 model also predicts further differentiation may be achieved with
increasing dose levels, which we are testing in the ongoing Phase 2b/3
trial in PsA.
 - Enrollment in the PsA Phase 2b/3 trial has been completed, and
top-line results are now anticipated to be accelerated into first
quarter 2024 from mid-2024.
- A Phase 2b/3 trial evaluating izokibep in uveitis is enrolling. Previously
reported data for secukinumab have validated the inhibition of IL-17A

1 in uveitis by demonstrating a clinical response with IV levels of
2 exposure. Izokibep can achieve secukinumab IV level exposures with
3 a single subcutaneous injection. This provides the potential to unlock
4 inhibition of IL-17A as an approach to treating uveitis where significant
unmet need remains.

- 5 • The Company also plans to initiate a Phase 3 program to evaluate
6 izokibep for the treatment of AxSpA in 2024. Enthesitis is a central
7 feature of AxSpA, and we believe the rates of enthesitis resolution
8 demonstrated in the Phase 2 PsA trial suggest the potential for clinically
meaningful, differentiated benefits for patients with this disease.

9
10 36. That same day, Acelyrin filed a Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q with the
11 SEC, reporting the Company's financial and operational results for the quarter ended June
12 30, 2023 (the "Q2 2023 10-Q"). The Q2 2023 10-Q contained substantively similar
13 statements as referenced in ¶ 33, *supra*, regarding izokibep's purported mechanism of
14 action in treating diseases such as HS.

15
16 37. Appended to the Q2 2023 10-Q as an exhibit was a signed certification
17 pursuant to SOX by Defendants Lin and Labrucherie, attesting that "the information
18 contained in the [Q2 2023 10-Q] fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial
19 condition and results of operations of the Company."

20
21
22 38. On August 16, 2023, Acelyrin hosted an earnings call with investors and
23 analysts to discuss the Company's Q2 2023 results (the "Q2 2023 Earnings Call"). During
24 the scripted portion of the Q2 2023 Earnings Call, Defendant Lin stated, in relevant part:

25
26 Since our founding in 2020, we have created a robust portfolio. This includes
27 our lead program, izokibep, which is a small therapeutic protein whose high
28 potency and small molecular size we believe can drive clinically meaningful

1 differentiated benefit for patients across multiple indications, truly a potential
2 pipeline and a program.

3 ***

4
5 Recall that izokibep is a small protein therapeutic designed to inhibit IL-17A
6 with high potency through tight binding affinity, the potential for robust tissue
7 penetration due to its small molecular size, about one-tenth the size of a
8 monoclonal antibody, and an albumin-binding domain that extends half-life.
9 And we have hypothesized that this high potency and small size can lead to
10 clinically meaningful differences in efficacy relative to the market in
11 monoclonal antibodies against this target and without the introduction of new
12 safety liabilities. We are pursuing late-stage development of izokibep across
13 a number of indications where IL-17A inhibition has been validated. These
14 include HS, PsA, uveitis, and axial spondyloarthritis.

15
16 Let me begin with the progress we've made with our HS program. HS is a
17 chronic inflammatory disease characterized by skin abscesses, inflammatory
18 nodules, draining tunnels, scar tissue, malodor, and pain, often resulting in
19 permanent disfigurement and social stigma, and all of this contributing to poor
20 quality of life. HS affects more than 300,000 patients in the U.S. with more
21 than half of these patients considered moderate to severe.

22
23 There is currently only one FDA-approved treatment for HS, and a significant
24 need remains for new medicines that provide more rapid and complete
25 resolution of the disease. We've long known that drug exposures in HS are
26 lower compared to other inflammatory conditions and had hypothesized that
27 the high potency of izokibep on two IL-17A, as well as a small molecular size,
28 again about a tenth of the size of a monoclonal antibody, could generate deep
levels of clinical response due to robust tissue penetration and potent target
engagement.

39. The statements referenced in ¶¶ 28-38 were materially false and misleading
because Defendants made false and/or misleading statements, as well as failed to disclose
material adverse facts about the Company's business, operations, and prospects.
Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose

1 that: (i) izokibep was less effective in treating HS than Defendants had led investors to
2 believe; (ii) accordingly, Acelyrin overstated izokibep’s clinical and/or commercial
3 prospects; (iii) as a result, Acelyrin also overstated the Company’s business prospects
4 post-IPO; and (iv) as a result, the Company’s public statements were materially false and
5 misleading at all relevant times.
6
7

8 The Truth Emerges

9 40. On September 11, 2023, after the markets closed, Acelyrin issued a press
10 release entitled “ACELYRIN, INC. Announces Top-Line Results from Placebo-
11 Controlled Clinical Trial of Izokibep for Moderate-to-Severe Hidradenitis Suppurativa.”
12

13 The press release stated, in relevant part:
14

15 ACELYRIN [. . .] today announced top-line results from Part B of a Phase
16 2b/3 trial evaluating izokibep for the treatment of moderate-to-severe
17 Hidradenitis Suppurativa (HS). The primary endpoint of HiSCR75 at week 16
18 did not meet statistical significance. However, response rates for izokibep
19 showed early HiSCR100 responses, a clear dose-effect supported by both
20 pharmacokinetic exposures and HiSCR responses favoring 160mg weekly
21 dosing, and no evidence of safety or tolerability limitation.

22 “First, I would like to thank the patients and clinicians in this study, without
23 whom we would not be able to continue to learn about how best to treat this
24 debilitating disease. Although the overall study did not meet statistical
25 significance, izokibep appears to be demonstrating consistent early and high
26 orders of response for patients suffering from hidradenitis suppurativa without
27 safety or tolerability limitation,” said Shao-Lee Lin, MD, PhD, founder and
28 CEO of ACELYRIN. “The consistent and early achievement of HiSCR100,
along with our prior izokibep experience in Psoriatic Arthritis, continues to
demonstrate the potential of izokibep for resolution of disease, especially in
difficult to treat tissues. These results further support our ongoing evaluations
of 160 mg QW dosing in HS, as well as for additional indications, including
uveitis and PsA, the largest potential indication for izokibep.”

1 The randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled, multi-center trial evaluated
2 the safety and efficacy of izokibep dosed 160 mg weekly (QW) and every two
3 weeks (Q2W), versus placebo, in 175 patients with moderate-to-severe HS
4 (Hurley Stage II and III). The trial was conducted at 50 sites globally and
5 assessed various efficacy endpoints, including the primary endpoint of
6 HiSCR75 (Hidradenitis Suppurativa Clinical Response) at 16 weeks utilizing
7 a non-responder imputation (NRI) analysis method.

8 In the primary NRI analysis of Part B, statistical significance was impacted
9 by patients with HiSCR75-100 discontinuing as early as week 4 unrelated to
10 adverse events. In addition, there was a marked increase in placebo rates
11 during the course of the study. Applying a Last Observation Carried Forward
12 (LOCF) sensitivity analysis of the full dataset highlighted the impact of
13 responder discontinuations on the primary analysis and showed statistical
14 significance of HiSCR75 at week 16.

15 ***

16 An independently conducted pre-planned interim analysis, to which the
17 company remained blinded until the time of this primary analysis, occurred
18 prior to a rise in placebo rates observed later in the trial. This dataset provides
19 an opportunity to view the performance of izokibep prior to this increase. The
20 table below shows the consistency of Part A open label results relative to the
21 Part B placebo-controlled interim analysis, which was pre-specified to be an
22 as observed analysis at week 12.

23 ***

24 Also, given the number of responders who discontinued in the QW arm – the
25 majority unrelated to an adverse event – a modified-NRI (mNRI) approach
26 showed a high level of statistical significance and highlighted the impact of
27 discontinuations on magnitude and significance of response. This analysis
28 demonstrates the performance of izokibep at this juncture in the study – in
isolation from the placebo rate increases observed later in the trial – and
provides an exploratory approach to analyzing responder discontinuations.

The safety profile for izokibep was consistent with prior studies and the anti-IL-17A class. There were no events of candida in the high dose 160mg QW

1 arm and there were two discontinuations across the trial due to injection site
2 reactions (3.5%).

3 41. On this news, Acelyrin’s stock price fell \$17.19 per share, or 61.61%, over
4 the following two trading sessions, to close at \$10.71 per share on September 13, 2023.
5

6 42. As a result of Defendants’ wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous
7 decline in the market value of Acelyrin’s securities, Plaintiff and other Class members
8 have suffered significant losses and damages.
9

10 **SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS**

11 43. During the Class Period, Defendants had both the motive and opportunity to
12 commit fraud. They also had actual knowledge of the misleading nature of the statements
13 they made, or acted in reckless disregard of the true information known to them at the
14 time. In so doing, Defendants participated in a scheme to defraud and committed acts,
15 practices, and participated in a course of business that operated as a fraud or deceit on
16 purchasers of the Company’s securities during the Class Period.
17
18

19 **PLAINTIFF’S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

20 44. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
21 Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a Class, consisting of all those who purchased or
22 otherwise acquired Acelyrin securities during the Class Period (the “Class”); and were
23 damaged upon the revelation of the alleged corrective disclosures. Excluded from the
24 Class are Defendants herein, the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant
25
26
27
28

1 times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs,
2 successors or assigns and any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest.

3
4 45. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is
5 impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Acelyrin securities were actively traded on
6 the NASDAQ. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this
7 time and can be ascertained only through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that
8 there are hundreds or thousands of members in the proposed Class. Record owners and
9 other members of the Class may be identified from records maintained by Acelyrin or its
10 transfer agent and may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail, using the form
11 of notice similar to that customarily used in securities class actions.
12
13

14
15 46. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all
16 members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation
17 of federal law that is complained of herein.
18

19 47. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the
20 Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation.
21 Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with those of the Class.
22

23 48. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and
24 predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among
25 the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:
26

- 27
28
- whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants' acts as alleged herein;

- 1 • whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the
2 Class Period misrepresented material facts about the business, operations
3 and management of Acelyrin;
- 4 • whether the Individual Defendants caused Acelyrin to issue false and
5 misleading financial statements during the Class Period;
- 6 • whether Defendants acted knowingly or recklessly in issuing false and
7 misleading financial statements;
- 8 • whether the prices of Acelyrin securities during the Class Period were
9 artificially inflated because of the Defendants' conduct complained of
10 herein; and
- 11 • whether the members of the Class have sustained damages and, if so, what
12 is the proper measure of damages.

13 49. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and
14 efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable.
15 Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively
16 small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it impossible for members of
17 the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in
18 the management of this action as a class action.

19 50. Plaintiff will rely, in part, upon the presumption of reliance established by the
20 fraud-on-the-market doctrine in that:

- 21 • Defendants made public misrepresentations or failed to disclose material
22 facts during the Class Period;
- 23 • the omissions and misrepresentations were material;
- 24 • Acelyrin securities are traded in an efficient market;

- 1 • the Company's shares were liquid and traded with moderate to heavy
2 volume during the Class Period;
- 3 • the Company traded on the NASDAQ and was covered by multiple
4 analysts;
- 5 • the misrepresentations and omissions alleged would tend to induce a
6 reasonable investor to misjudge the value of the Company's securities; and
- 7 • Plaintiff and members of the Class purchased, acquired and/or sold
8 Acelyrin securities between the time the Defendants failed to disclose or
9 misrepresented material facts and the time the true facts were disclosed,
10 without knowledge of the omitted or misrepresented facts.

11 51. Based upon the foregoing, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled
12 to a presumption of reliance upon the integrity of the market.

13 52. Alternatively, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to the
14 presumption of reliance established by the Supreme Court in *Affiliated Ute Citizens of the*
15 *State of Utah v. United States*, 406 U.S. 128, 92 S. Ct. 2430 (1972), as Defendants omitted
16 material information in their Class Period statements in violation of a duty to disclose such
17 information, as detailed above.
18
19
20

21 COUNT I

22 **(Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Promulgated** 23 **Thereunder Against All Defendants)**

24 53. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained above as
25 if fully set forth herein.
26

27 54. This Count is asserted against Defendants and is based upon Section 10(b) of
28 the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC.

1 55. During the Class Period, Defendants engaged in a plan, scheme, conspiracy
2 and course of conduct, pursuant to which they knowingly or recklessly engaged in acts,
3 transactions, practices and courses of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon
4 Plaintiff and the other members of the Class; made various untrue statements of material
5 facts and omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made,
6 in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and employed
7 devices, schemes and artifices to defraud in connection with the purchase and sale of
8 securities. Such scheme was intended to, and, throughout the Class Period, did: (i) deceive
9 the investing public, including Plaintiff and other Class members, as alleged herein; (ii)
10 artificially inflate and maintain the market price of Acelyrin securities; and (iii) cause
11 Plaintiff and other members of the Class to purchase or otherwise acquire Acelyrin
12 securities at artificially inflated prices. In furtherance of this unlawful scheme, plan and
13 course of conduct, Defendants, and each of them, took the actions set forth herein.
14
15
16
17
18

19 56. Pursuant to the above plan, scheme, conspiracy and course of conduct, each
20 of the Defendants participated directly or indirectly in the preparation and/or issuance of
21 the quarterly and annual reports, SEC filings, press releases and other statements and
22 documents described above, including statements made to securities analysts and the
23 media that were designed to influence the market for Acelyrin securities. Such reports,
24 filings, releases and statements were materially false and misleading in that they failed to
25
26
27
28

1 disclose material adverse information and misrepresented the truth about Acelyrin's
2 finances and business prospects.

3
4 57. By virtue of their positions at Acelyrin, Defendants had actual knowledge
5 of the materially false and misleading statements and material omissions alleged herein
6 and intended thereby to deceive Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, or, in the
7 alternative, Defendants acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed or
8 refused to ascertain and disclose such facts as would reveal the materially false and
9 misleading nature of the statements made, although such facts were readily available to
10 Defendants. Said acts and omissions of Defendants were committed willfully or with
11 reckless disregard for the truth. In addition, each Defendant knew or recklessly
12 disregarded that material facts were being misrepresented or omitted as described above.

13
14
15
16 58. Information showing that Defendants acted knowingly or with reckless
17 disregard for the truth is peculiarly within Defendants' knowledge and control. As the
18 senior managers and/or directors of Acelyrin, the Individual Defendants had knowledge
19 of the details of Acelyrin's internal affairs.

20
21
22 59. The Individual Defendants are liable both directly and indirectly for the
23 wrongs complained of herein. Because of their positions of control and authority, the
24 Individual Defendants were able to and did, directly or indirectly, control the content of
25 the statements of Acelyrin. As officers and/or directors of a publicly-held company, the
26 Individual Defendants had a duty to disseminate timely, accurate, and truthful information
27
28

1 with respect to Acelyrin's businesses, operations, future financial condition and future
2 prospects. As a result of the dissemination of the aforementioned false and misleading
3 reports, releases and public statements, the market price of Acelyrin securities was
4 artificially inflated throughout the Class Period. In ignorance of the adverse facts
5 concerning Acelyrin's business and financial condition which were concealed by
6 Defendants, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired
7 Acelyrin securities at artificially inflated prices and relied upon the price of the securities,
8 the integrity of the market for the securities and/or upon statements disseminated by
9 Defendants, and were damaged thereby.

10
11
12
13
14 60. During the Class Period, Acelyrin securities were traded on an active and
15 efficient market. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, relying on the materially
16 false and misleading statements described herein, which the Defendants made, issued or
17 caused to be disseminated, or relying upon the integrity of the market, purchased or
18 otherwise acquired shares of Acelyrin securities at prices artificially inflated by
19 Defendants' wrongful conduct. Had Plaintiff and the other members of the Class known
20 the truth, they would not have purchased or otherwise acquired said securities, or would
21 not have purchased or otherwise acquired them at the inflated prices that were paid. At
22 the time of the purchases and/or acquisitions by Plaintiff and the Class, the true value of
23 Acelyrin securities was substantially lower than the prices paid by Plaintiff and the other
24
25
26
27
28

1 members of the Class. The market price of Acelyrin securities declined sharply upon
2 public disclosure of the facts alleged herein to the injury of Plaintiff and Class members.
3

4 61. By reason of the conduct alleged herein, Defendants knowingly or recklessly,
5 directly or indirectly, have violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5
6 promulgated thereunder.
7

8 62. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff
9 and the other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their respective
10 purchases, acquisitions and sales of the Company's securities during the Class Period,
11 upon the disclosure that the Company had been disseminating misrepresented financial
12 statements to the investing public.
13
14

15 COUNT II

16 **(Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act Against the Individual Defendants)**

17 63. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in the
18 foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
19

20 64. During the Class Period, the Individual Defendants participated in the
21 operation and management of Acelyrin, and conducted and participated, directly and
22 indirectly, in the conduct of Acelyrin's business affairs. Because of their senior positions,
23 they knew the adverse non-public information about Acelyrin's misstatement of income
24 and expenses and false financial statements.
25
26
27
28

1 65. As officers and/or directors of a publicly owned company, the Individual
2 Defendants had a duty to disseminate accurate and truthful information with respect to
3 Acelyrin’s financial condition and results of operations, and to correct promptly any public
4 statements issued by Acelyrin which had become materially false or misleading.
5

6 66. Because of their positions of control and authority as senior officers, the
7 Individual Defendants were able to, and did, control the contents of the various reports,
8 press releases and public filings which Acelyrin disseminated in the marketplace during
9 the Class Period concerning Acelyrin’s results of operations. Throughout the Class
10 Period, the Individual Defendants exercised their power and authority to cause Acelyrin
11 to engage in the wrongful acts complained of herein. The Individual Defendants therefore,
12 were “controlling persons” of Acelyrin within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the
13 Exchange Act. In this capacity, they participated in the unlawful conduct alleged which
14 artificially inflated the market price of Acelyrin securities.
15

16 67. Each of the Individual Defendants, therefore, acted as a controlling person of
17 Acelyrin. By reason of their senior management positions and/or being directors of
18 Acelyrin, each of the Individual Defendants had the power to direct the actions of, and
19 exercised the same to cause, Acelyrin to engage in the unlawful acts and conduct
20 complained of herein. Each of the Individual Defendants exercised control over the
21 general operations of Acelyrin and possessed the power to control the specific activities
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 which comprise the primary violations about which Plaintiff and the other members of the
2 Class complain.

3
4 68. By reason of the above conduct, the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant
5 to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for the violations committed by Acelyrin.

6
7 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

8 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

9 A. Determining that the instant action may be maintained as a class action under
10 Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and certifying Plaintiff as the Class
11 representative;

12 B. Requiring Defendants to pay damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class by
13 reason of the acts and transactions alleged herein;

14 C. Awarding Plaintiff and the other members of the Class prejudgment and post-
15 judgment interest, as well as their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees and other costs;
16 and

17 D. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and
18 proper.
19

20
21 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

22 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.
23
24
25
26
27
28