

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Case No.

Plaintiff,

CLASS ACTION

vs.

**COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS
OF FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS**

SUNPOWER CORPORATION, PETER
FARICY, GUTHRIE DUNDAS, and
ELIZABETH EBY,

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Defendants.

1 Plaintiff _____ (“Plaintiff”), by and through his attorneys, alleges upon personal
2 knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to all other matters, based upon the
3 investigation conducted by and through his attorneys, which included, among other things, a
4 review of documents filed by Defendants (as defined below) with the United States Securities and
5 Exchange Commission (the “SEC”), news reports, press releases issued by Defendants, and other
6 publicly available documents, as follows:

7 INTRODUCTION

8 1. Plaintiff brings claims against all Defendants for violating Sections 10(b) and 20(a)
9 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a), and
10 Rule 10b-5 promulgated by the SEC, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5, on behalf of the class of persons and
11 entities (the “Class”) that purchased or otherwise acquired the securities of SunPower Corporation
12 (“SunPower” or the “Company”) between March 9, 2023 and October 24, 2023 (the “Class
13 Period”).

14 2. SunPower is a solar technology and energy services provider.

15 3. On October 24, 2023, after the market closed, SunPower filed a Form 8-K with the
16 SEC (the “October 24 8-K”) revealing a material weakness in its internal controls and the
17 impending restatement of certain financial statements. The October 24 8-K disclosed that investors
18 should no longer rely upon the audited financial statements included in the Company’s Form 10-
19 K for the period ended January 1, 2023 (the “2022 10-K”), the unaudited financial statements
20 included in the Company’s Form 10-Q for the period ended April 2, 2023 (the “Q1 2023 10-Q”),
21 the unaudited financial statements included in the Company’s Form 10-Q for the period ended July
22 2, 2023 (the “Q2 2023 10-Q”), and any communications describing or based upon those financial
23 statements. The Company added that it planned to restate the 2022 10-K, the Q1 2023 10-Q, and
24 the Q2 2023 10-Q. SunPower explained that it had overstated the value of consignment inventory
25 of certain microinverter components, causing it to understate the associated cost of revenue. The
26 October 24 8-K further revealed that consequently, SunPower’s management had concluded that
27 a material weakness existed in the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.
28

1 **THE PARTIES**

2 **A. Plaintiff**

3 10. Plaintiff Jacob W. Craven, as set forth in the accompanying certification,
4 incorporated by reference herein, purchased SunPower securities during the Class Period, and
5 suffered damages as a result of the federal securities law violations and false and/or misleading
6 statements and/or material omissions alleged herein.

7 **B. Defendants**

8 11. Defendant SunPower is incorporated under the laws of Delaware with principal
9 offices located in Richmond, California. SunPower’s common stock trades on the NASDAQ under
10 the symbol “SPWR.”

11 12. Defendant Peter Faricy was the Company’s Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) at all
12 relevant times. Faricy signed several of SunPower’s SEC filings during the Class Period, including
13 the 2022 10-K.

14 13. Defendant Gurthrie Dundas was the Company’s Interim Chief Financial Officer
15 from August 29, 2022 through May 29, 2023. Dundas signed several of SunPower’s SEC filings
16 during the Class Period, including the 2022 10-K and the Q1 2023 10-Q.

17 14. Defendant Elizabeth Eby has served as the Company’s Chief Financial Officer
18 since May 30, 2023. Eby signed several of SunPower’s SEC filings during the Class Period,
19 including the Q2 2023 10-Q.

20 15. Defendants Faricy, Dundas, and Eby (collectively, the “Individual Defendants”),
21 because of their positions with the Company, possessed the power and authority to control the
22 contents of the Company’s reports to the SEC; press releases; and presentations to securities
23 analysts, money and portfolio managers, and institutional investors, *i.e.*, the market. The Individual
24 Defendants were provided with copies of the Company’s reports and press releases alleged herein
25 to be misleading prior to, or shortly after, their issuance and had the ability and opportunity to
26 prevent their issuance or cause them to be corrected. Because of their positions and access to
27 material non-public information available to them, the Individual Defendants knew that the
28 adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to, and were being concealed from, the public,

1 and that the positive representations which were being made were then materially false and/or
2 misleading. The Individual Defendants are liable for the false statements pleaded herein.

3 **DEFENDANTS' FALSE AND MISLEADING STATEMENTS**

4 16. The Class Period begins on March 9, 2023. On that date, SunPower filed the 2022
5 10-K, which Faricy and Dundas signed. Therein, the Company reported the following about the
6 cost of revenues:

7

	Fiscal Year Ended				
(In thousands, except percentages)	January 1, 2023	% Change	January 2, 2022	% Change	January 3, 2021
Cost of Revenues					
Total cost of revenues	\$1,377,169	53%	\$902,718	23%	\$ 733,371
Gross Margin					
Total gross margin	21%		20%		16%

8
9
10
11
12

13 *Our total cost of revenues increased by 53% during fiscal 2022 as compared to*
14 *fiscal 2021*, as a result of organic revenue growth from our residential businesses
15 across all channels and the Blue Raven acquisition in the fourth quarter of 2021, as
16 well as increasing material, freight, and labor costs due to inflationary pressures.
17 This was partially offset by a decrease in cost of revenues as a result of the wind-
18 down of our Light Commercial business beginning in the first quarter of fiscal
19 2022.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

17. Regarding inventories, the Company reported:

Inventories (In thousands)	As of	
	January 1, 2023	January 2, 2022
Photo-voltaic modules	\$ 156,292	\$ 130,671
Microinverters	46,088	24,040
Energy Storage	63,327	26,849
Other solar power system component materials	51,108	32,872
Inventories ¹	\$ 316,815	\$ 214,432
¹ Photovoltaic modules are classified as finished goods, while the remaining components of total inventories are classified as raw materials.		

18. In the 2022 10-K, the Company also included a report on its internal control over financial reporting, which stated:

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting using the criteria described in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (“COSO”). **Based on this evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of January 1, 2023 based on the criteria described in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by COSO.** Management reviewed the results of its assessment with our Audit Committee.

19. Both Faricy and Dundas signed certifications under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act that were attached to the 2022 10-K (“SOX Certifications”). The certifications stated:

5 I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of SunPower Corporation;

2 **Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;**

3 **Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;**

4 The registrant’s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15I and 15d-15I) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined

in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and have:

(5) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;

I evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and

5 The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(5) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

20. On May 3, 2023, SunPower filed the Q1 2023 10-Q with the SEC, which Dundas signed. In the Q1 2023 10-Q, SunPower reported the following regarding cost of revenues:

(In thousands, except percentages)	Three Months Ended		
	April 2, 2023	April 3, 2022	% Change
Cost of Revenue			
Total cost of revenues	\$ 376,767	\$ 277,968	36%
Gross Margin			
Total gross margin	15%	21%	(6)%

21. Regarding inventories, the Q1 2023 10-Q reported the following:

Inventories (In thousands)	As of	
	April 2, 2023	January 1, 2023
Photo-voltaic modules	\$ 206,083	\$ 156,292
Microinverters	65,388	46,088
Energy Storage Systems	59,996	63,327
Other solar power system component materials	50,380	51,108
Inventories¹	\$ 381,847	\$ 316,815
¹ Photovoltaic modules are classified as finished goods, while the remaining components of total inventories are classified as raw materials.		

22. Faricy and Dundas signed SOX Certifications that were appended to the Q1 2023 10-Q. The SOX Certifications were substantively similar or the same as those attached to the 2022 10-K, discussed *supra* at ¶ 19.

23. On August 2, 2023, SunPower filed the Q2 2023 10-Q with the SEC, which Eby signed. In the Q2 2023 10-Q, SunPower reported the following regarding costs of revenues:

(In thousands, except percentages)	Three Months Ended			Six Months Ended		
	July 2, 2023	July 3, 2022	% Change	July 2, 2023	July 3, 2022	% Change
Cost of Revenues						
Total cost of revenues	\$ 399,724	\$ 336,273	19%	\$769,667	\$614,241	25%
Gross Margin						
Total gross margin	14%	20%	(6)%	15%	20%	(5)%

24. Regarding inventory, the Company reported the following:

(In thousands)	As of	
	July 2, 2023	January 1, 2023
Photovoltaic modules	\$ 244,068	\$ 156,292
Microinverters	68,832	46,088
Energy storage systems	58,670	63,327
Other solar power system component materials	52,470	51,108
Inventories ¹	\$ 424,040	\$ 316,815
¹ Photovoltaic modules are classified as finished goods, while the remaining components of total inventories are classified as raw materials.		

25. Eby and Dundas signed SOX Certifications that were appended to the Q2 2023 10-Q. The SOX Certifications were substantively similar or the same as those attached to the 2022 10-K, discussed *supra* at ¶¶ 19, 22.

26. The above statements identified in ¶¶ 16-25 were materially false and/or misleading, and failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants failed to disclose to investors that (1) due to a material weakness in its internal control over financial reporting, the Company had inaccurately reported cost of revenue and inventory metrics; (2) as a result of the foregoing, the Company was reasonably likely to incur significant charges to restate prior reporting; and (3) as a result of the foregoing, Defendants' positive statements about the Company's business, operations, and prospects were materially misleading and/or lacked a reasonable basis.

DISCLOSURES AT THE END OF THE CLASS PERIOD

27. On October 24, 2023, SunPower filed a Form 8-K with the SEC announcing that its previous financial statements could not be relied upon. The October 24 8-K stated, in relevant part:

On October 19, 2023, the Audit Committee (the "Audit Committee") of the Board of Directors (the "Board") of SunPower Corporation (the "Company"), based upon the recommendation of management, determined that the Company's (i) audited financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended January 1, 2023, filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on March 10, 2023 (the "Form 10-K"), (ii)

1 *unaudited financial statements included in the Company’s Quarterly Report on*
2 *Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended April 2, 2023, filed with the SEC on*
3 *May 3, 2023 (the “Q1 2023 Form 10-Q”), and (iii) unaudited financial statements*
4 *included in the Company’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly*
5 *period ended July 2, 2023, filed with the SEC on August 2, 2023 (the “Q2 2023*
6 *Form 10-Q,” and collectively, the “Affected Periods”), as well as the relevant*
7 *portions of any communication which describe or are based on such financial*
8 *statements, should no longer be relied upon. The Company plans to restate, as*
9 *soon as practicable, the financial statements for the Affected Periods in*
10 *amendments to the Form 10-K, the Q1 2023 Form 10-Q, and the Q2 2023 Form*
11 *10-Q, respectively (collectively, the “Restatement”).*

12 *In connection with the preparation of the financial statements, the Company*
13 *preliminarily determined that the value of consignment inventory of*
14 *microinverter components at certain third-party locations had been overstated in*
15 *the Affected Periods in the range of approximately \$16 million to \$20 million,*
16 *resulting in the associated cost of revenue being understated. At this time, the*
17 *Company has not fully completed its review, and the expected financial impact of*
18 *the errors described above is preliminary and subject to change. Additionally, the*
19 *Company is correcting other immaterial errors in the Affected Periods.*

20 *Upon evaluation of the impact to Affected Periods, the Company’s management*
21 *has concluded that in light of the matters described above, a material weakness*
22 *exists in the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. The Company’s*
23 *remediation plan with respect to such material weakness will be described in more*
24 *detail in the Company’s amended Form 10-K.*

25 *The Company’s management also has concluded that the Company’s disclosure*
26 *controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting were not*
27 *effective as of January 1, 2023 and the Company’s disclosure controls and*
28 *procedures were not effective as of April 2, 2023 and July 2, 2023. In addition, as*
a result of the material weakness, Ernst & Young LLP, the Company’s independent
registered public accounting firm for the year ended January 1, 2023 (“EY”), has
determined that its report on internal control over financial reporting as of January
1, 2023, dated March 9, 2023 and included in the Company’s Annual Report on
Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2023 filed with the SEC on March 10,
2023, will be revised to an adverse opinion that internal control over financial
reporting was ineffective and reissued.

28. On this news, the Company’s share price fell \$0.90 per share, or 18.1%, to close at
\$4.06 per share on October 25, 2023, on unusually high trading volume.

LOSS CAUSATION

29. Defendants’ wrongful conduct directly and proximately caused the losses suffered
by Plaintiff and the Class as described in ¶ 28.

1 34. The precise number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time but could
2 be determined following discovery as Record owners and other members of the Class may be
3 identified based on documents possessed by SunPower or its transfer agent. Those persons and
4 entities may be notified of the pendency of this action as is typically done in securities class actions.

5 35. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the Class as all
6 members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of the
7 federal securities laws. Plaintiff does not have any interests adverse to the Class.

8 36. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class, has retained competent counsel
9 experienced in litigation of this nature, and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the
10 Class.

11 37. Common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting any
12 individual Class member. The common questions include:

- 13 a. whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the
14 Class Period omitted and/or misrepresented material facts about the business,
15 operations, and prospects of SunPower;
- 16 b. whether Defendants thereby violated the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b)
17 and 78t(a), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC, 17 C.F.R. §
18 240.10b-5; and
- 19 c. whether and to what extent Class members have been damaged by Defendants
20 and the proper measure of damages.

21 38. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient
22 adjudication of this controversy for several reasons. The prosecution of separate actions by
23 individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with
24 respect to individual members of the Class, which would establish incompatible standards of
25 conduct for Defendants. Also, adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class
26 would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interest of other members or substantially impair
27 or impede their ability to protect their interests. Moreover, damages suffered by individual Class
28

1 members may be small, making it overly expensive and burdensome for individual Class members
2 to pursue redress on their own and the number of Class members makes joinder impracticable.

3 39. There will be no difficulty in the management of this litigation as a class action.

4 40. Defendants have acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class with respect to
5 the matters complained of herein, thereby making appropriate the relief sought herein with respect
6 to the Class as a whole.

7 **FRAUD ON THE MARKET**

8 41. The market for SunPower's securities was open, well-developed and efficient at all
9 relevant times. As a result of the materially false and/or misleading statements and/or failures to
10 disclose, SunPower's securities traded at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period.
11 Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired the Company's securities
12 relying upon the integrity of the market price of SunPower's securities and market information
13 relating to SunPower, and have been damaged thereby.

14 42. During the Class Period, the artificial inflation of SunPower's shares was caused
15 by the material misrepresentations and/or omissions particularized in this Complaint causing the
16 damages sustained by Plaintiff and other members of the Class. As described herein, during the
17 Class Period, Defendants made or caused to be made a series of materially false and/or misleading
18 statements about SunPower's business, prospects, and operations. These material misstatements
19 and/or omissions created an unrealistically positive assessment of SunPower and its business,
20 operations, and prospects, thus causing the price of the Company's securities to be artificially
21 inflated at all relevant times, and when disclosed, negatively affected the value of the Company's
22 shares. Defendants' materially false and/or misleading statements during the Class Period resulted
23 in Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchasing the Company's securities at such artificially
24 inflated prices, and each of them has been damaged as a result. At all relevant times, the market
25 for SunPower's securities was an efficient market for the following reasons, among others:

- 26 a. SunPower shares met the requirements for listing, and was listed and actively
27 traded on the NASDAQ, a highly efficient and automated market;

- 1 b. As a regulated issuer, SunPower filed periodic public reports with the SEC
2 and/or the NASDAQ;
- 3 c. SunPower regularly communicated with public investors via established market
4 communication mechanisms, including through regular dissemination of press
5 releases on the national circuits of major newswire services and through other
6 wide-ranging public disclosures, such as communications with the financial
7 press and other similar reporting services; and/or
- 8 d. SunPower was followed by securities analysts employed by brokerage firms
9 who wrote reports about the Company, and these reports were distributed to the
10 sales force and certain customers of their respective brokerage firms. Each of
11 these reports was publicly available and entered the public marketplace.

12 43. As a result of the foregoing, the market for SunPower’s securities promptly digested
13 current information regarding SunPower from all publicly available sources and reflected such
14 information in SunPower’s share price. Under these circumstances, all purchasers of SunPower’s
15 securities during the Class Period suffered similar injury through their purchase of SunPower’s
16 securities at artificially inflated prices and a presumption of reliance applies.

17 44. A Class-wide presumption of reliance is also appropriate in this action under the
18 Supreme Court’s holding in *Affiliated Ute Citizens of Utah v. United States*, 406 U.S. 128 (1972),
19 because the Class’s claims are, in large part, grounded on Defendants’ material misstatements
20 and/or omissions. Because this action involves Defendants’ failure to disclose material adverse
21 information regarding the Company’s business operations and financial prospects—information
22 that Defendants were obligated to disclose—positive proof of reliance is not a prerequisite to
23 recovery. All that is necessary is that the facts withheld be material in the sense that a reasonable
24 investor might have considered them important in making investment decisions. Given the
25 importance of the Class Period material misstatements and omissions set forth above, that
26 requirement is satisfied here.

1 **NO SAFE HARBOR**

2 45. The statutory safe harbor provided for forward-looking statements under certain
3 circumstances does not apply to any of the allegedly false statements pleaded in this Complaint.
4 The statements alleged to be false and misleading herein all relate to then-existing facts and
5 conditions. In addition, to the extent certain of the statements alleged to be false may be
6 characterized as forward looking, they were not identified as “forward-looking statements” when
7 made and there were no meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could
8 cause actual results to differ materially from those in the purportedly forward-looking statements.
9 In the alternative, to the extent that the statutory safe harbor is determined to apply to any forward-
10 looking statements pleaded herein, Defendants are liable for those false forward-looking
11 statements because at the time each of those forward-looking statements was made, the speaker
12 had actual knowledge that the forward-looking statement was materially false or misleading,
13 and/or the forward-looking statement was authorized or approved by an executive officer of
14 SunPower who knew that the statement was false when made.

15 **COUNT ONE**

16 **Violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5**

17 **(Against All Defendants)**

18 46. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully
19 set forth herein.

20 47. By reason of the conduct herein alleged, each Defendant named herein violated
21 Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5.

22 48. During the Class Period, Defendants carried out a plan, scheme and course of
23 conduct which was intended to and, throughout the Class Period, did: (i) deceive the investing
24 public, including Plaintiff and other Class members, as alleged herein; and (ii) cause Plaintiff and
25 other members of the Class to purchase SunPower’s securities at artificially inflated prices. In
26 furtherance of this unlawful scheme, plan and course of conduct, Defendants, and each defendant,
27 took the actions set forth herein.
28

1 49. Defendants (i) employed devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (ii) made
2 untrue statements of material fact and/or omitted to state material facts necessary to make the
3 statements not misleading; and (iii) engaged in acts, practices, and a course of business which
4 operated as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of the Company's securities in an effort to
5 maintain artificially high market prices for SunPower's securities in violation of Section 10(b) of
6 the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5. All Defendants are sued either as primary participants in the
7 wrongful and illegal conduct charged herein or as controlling persons as alleged below.

8 50. Defendants, individually and in concert, directly and indirectly, by the use, means
9 or instrumentalities of interstate commerce and/or of the mails, engaged and participated in a
10 continuous course of conduct to conceal adverse material information about SunPower's financial
11 well-being and prospects, as specified herein.

12 51. Defendants employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud, while in
13 possession of material adverse non-public information and engaged in acts, practices, and a course
14 of conduct as alleged herein in an effort to assure investors of SunPower's value and performance
15 and continued substantial growth, which included the making of, or the participation in the making
16 of, untrue statements of material facts and/or omitting to state material facts necessary in order to
17 make the statements made about SunPower and its business operations and future prospects in
18 light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, as set forth more
19 particularly herein, and engaged in transactions, practices and a course of business which operated
20 as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of the Company's securities during the Class Period.

21 52. Each of the Individual Defendants' primary liability and controlling person liability
22 arises from the following facts: (i) the Individual Defendants were high-level executives and/or
23 directors at the Company during the Class Period and members of the Company's management
24 team or had control thereof; (ii) each of these defendants, by virtue of their responsibilities and
25 activities as a senior officer and/or director of the Company, was privy to and participated in the
26 creation, development and reporting of the Company's internal budgets, plans, projections and/or
27 reports; (iii) each of these defendants enjoyed significant personal contact and familiarity with the
28 other defendants and was advised of, and had access to, other members of the Company's

1 management team, internal reports and other data and information about the Company's finances,
2 operations, and sales at all relevant times; and (iv) each of these defendants was aware of the
3 Company's dissemination of information to the investing public which they knew and/or
4 recklessly disregarded was materially false and misleading.

5 53. Defendants had actual knowledge of the misrepresentations and/or omissions of
6 material facts set forth herein, or acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed to
7 ascertain and to disclose such facts, even though such facts were available to them. Such
8 defendants' material misrepresentations and/or omissions were done knowingly or recklessly and
9 for the purpose and effect of concealing SunPower's financial well-being and prospects from the
10 investing public and supporting the artificially inflated price of its securities. As demonstrated by
11 Defendants' overstatements and/or misstatements of the Company's business, operations,
12 financial well-being, and prospects throughout the Class Period, Defendants, if they did not have
13 actual knowledge of the misrepresentations and/or omissions alleged, were reckless in failing to
14 obtain such knowledge by deliberately refraining from taking those steps necessary to discover
15 whether those statements were false or misleading.

16 54. As a result of the dissemination of materially false and/or misleading information
17 and/or failure to disclose material facts, as set forth above, the market price of SunPower's
18 securities was artificially inflated during the Class Period. In ignorance of the fact that market
19 prices of the Company's securities were artificially inflated, and relying directly or indirectly on
20 the false and misleading statements made by Defendants, or upon the integrity of the market in
21 which the securities trades, and/or in the absence of material adverse information that was known
22 to or recklessly disregarded by Defendants, but not disclosed in public statements by Defendants
23 during the Class Period, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class acquired SunPower's
24 securities during the Class Period at artificially high prices and were damaged thereby.

25 55. At the time of said misrepresentations and/or omissions, Plaintiff and other
26 members of the Class were ignorant of their falsity, and believed them to be true. Had Plaintiff and
27 the other members of the Class and the marketplace known the truth regarding the problems that
28 SunPower was experiencing, which were not disclosed by Defendants, Plaintiff and other members

1 of the Class would not have purchased or otherwise acquired their SunPower securities, or, if they
2 had acquired such securities during the Class Period, they would not have done so at the artificially
3 inflated prices which they paid.

4 56. By virtue of the foregoing, Defendants violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act
5 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.

6 57. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff and the
7 other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their respective purchases and
8 sales of the Company's securities during the Class Period.

9 58. Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages because they purchased SunPower
10 stock at an inflated price, which declined in value as a result of the corrective disclosures detailed
11 herein.

12 **COUNT TWO**

13 **Violation of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act**

14 **(Against the Individual Defendants)**

15 59. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in ¶¶ 1-45 as if
16 fully set forth herein.

17 60. Individual Defendants acted as controlling persons of SunPower within the
18 meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act as alleged herein. By virtue of their high-level
19 positions and their ownership and contractual rights, participation in, and/or awareness of the
20 Company's operations and intimate knowledge of the false financial statements filed by the
21 Company with the SEC and disseminated to the investing public, Individual Defendants had the
22 power to influence and control and did influence and control, directly or indirectly, the decision-
23 making of the Company, including the content and dissemination of the various statements which
24 Plaintiff contends are false and misleading. Individual Defendants were provided with or had
25 unlimited access to copies of the Company's reports, press releases, public filings, and other
26 statements alleged by Plaintiff to be misleading prior to and/or shortly after these statements were
27 issued and had the ability to prevent the issuance of the statements or cause the statements to be
28 corrected.

October 27, 2023

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Certification Pursuant to Federal Securities Laws

1. I, Jacob W. Craven, make this declaration pursuant to Section 27(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”) and/or Section 21D(a)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.
2. I have reviewed a Complaint against SunPower Corp. (“SunPower” or the “Company”) and authorize the filing of a comparable complaint on my behalf.
3. I did not transact in SunPower Corp. securities at the direction of plaintiff’s counsel, or in order to participate in any private action under the federal securities laws.
4. I am willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of a class of investors who purchased or otherwise acquired: SunPower securities during the Class Period, as specified in the Complaint; including providing testimony at deposition and trial, if necessary. I understand that the Court has the authority to select the most adequate lead plaintiff in this action.
5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a list of all of my transactions in SunPower Corp. securities during the Class Period, as specified in the Complaint.
6. During the past three years, I have not sought to serve as a representative party on behalf of a class under federal securities laws.
7. I will not accept payment for serving as a representative party on behalf of a class beyond my pro rata share of any recovery, except as ordered or approved by the Court in accordance with 15 U.S.C. 78u-4(a)(4).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 10 / 26 / 2023

Jacob W. Craven

Jacob W. Craven

Exhibit 1

Date	Transaction	Shares	Price
03/28/23	Bought	200	\$ 12.16
05/03/23	Bought	300	\$ 11.87
05/03/23	Bought	500	\$ 11.86
05/11/23	Bought	500	\$ 10.83
05/11/23	Bought	500	\$ 10.83
05/19/23	Bought	500	\$ 10.15
05/19/23	Bought	500	\$ 10.15
05/19/23	Bought	500	\$ 9.50
06/20/23	Sold	-500	\$ 10.50
06/22/23	Sold	-500	\$ 10.52
06/22/23	Sold	-500	\$ 10.52
06/22/23	Sold	-500	\$ 10.52
07/17/23	Sold	-500	\$ 10.84
07/17/23	Sold	-500	\$ 10.83

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-CAND 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved in its original form by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Clerk of Court to initiate the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

DEFENDANTS

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
2 U.S. Government Defendant 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

Table with columns for PTF and DEF for Citizen of This State, Citizen of Another State, and Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country.

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Large table with columns: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, HABEAS CORPUS, OTHER, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from Another District (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation-Transfer 8 Multidistrict Litigation-Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

Brief description of cause:

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, Fed. R. Civ. P. DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY (See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (Civil Local Rule 3-2)

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND

SAN JOSE

EUREKA-MCKINLEYVILLE

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD